Nonlinear Spreading Dynamics of a Localized Soluble Surfactant on a Thin Liquid Film

Chaur-Kie Lin, Chi-Chuan Huang1,*, Gwo-Jiunn Huang2 and Wu-Yih Uen3

Department of Mechanical Engineering, Ching-Yun Institute of Technology, Chung-Li, Taiwan 32020, R.O.C.
1Department of Engineering Science, National Cheng Kung University, Tainan, Taiwan 70101, R.O.C.
2Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering, University of Wales Swansea, Singleton Park, Swansea SA2 8PP, Wales, U.K.
3Department of Electrical Engineering, Chung Yuan University, Chung-Li, Taiwan 32023, R.O.C.

(Received October 23, 2001)

This paper focuses on the study of the spreading behavior of soluble surfactant in a thin liquid film system. For the convenience of numerical calculation, the system coordinate of the evolution equation is transformed. The generalized Frumkin model is used to simulate the adsorption/desorption process of soluble surfactant. Nonlinear coupling evolution equations are derived using the long-wave approximation and the cross-sectional averaging method. The spreading rate of a liquid film predicted by the generalized Frumkin model is faster than predicted by the Langmuir model. When the prediction using the generalized Frumkin model is performed at a smaller \( \beta \) (relative surface concentration), the effect of solubility of surfactant will be enhanced. Consequently, a small \( \beta \) will lead to the acceleration of spreading behavior; however, contrary results will come out while the value of \( \beta \) exceeds 2.0. The dimensionless bulk diffusion constant \( D_1 \) (the molecular interaction parameter, \( K \) and the activation energy of desorption, \( v_J \)) will enhance (weaken) the desorption of surfactant and decelerate (accelerate) the spreading rate of thin liquid film.
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1. Introduction

The monolayer spreading processes of a liquid film is one of the important research subjects in recent years.1–4 The spreading process of liquid flow has been widely applied to the research areas of medicine and general industry. For the application in medicine, the surfactant replacement therapy (SRT) saves many premature babies. The respiratory distress syndrome is very common in premature babies. The respiratory distress syndrome is treated with the delivery of surfactant to the airway and alveoli. However, the physical mechanism of interaction is not clear. Many researchers indicated that it is important to clarify the mechanism of the medicine or aerosol transport process in the alveoli or airway.5–9

The long-wave approximation was the method used by most of previous studies, which assumed that the critical wavelength of the liquid system is far larger than the liquid film’s characteristic depth. This method used the lubrication theory to derive the evolution equations for a liquid film system.11–15 The adsorption process of surfactant on the free surface is the main cause of the spreading behavior. The sorption of surfactants causes the distribution of surfactant concentration that will yield the surface tension gradient. The monolayer spreading of the liquid film will not stop until the easing of surface tension gradient.10

Most reports concerned with monolayer spreading problems discussed a liquid film with insoluble surfactants.6–8,18–21 However, the existence of soluble surfactant is more common than the existence of insoluble surfactant. The spreading process of a liquid film with soluble surfactant has been studied by Halpern and Groberg.22 They mentioned that the gradient of surface tension will yield a spreading flow of the liquid film. They also reported that the increase of solubility will decrease the surface concentration of surfactant. This process will generate a back-flow that is driven by adsorption. The slow and fast sorption processes of surfactant molecules have been discussed by Jensen and Groberg.9 They stated that the process of fast sorption is created by the transient desorption of surfactant molecules from the surface to the bulk. The process of the slow sorption is created by the slow movement of surfactant molecules. They also discovered that the spreading rate of a liquid film with insoluble surfactant predicted by fast sorption is much lower than the slow sorption; and the liquid film will generate much larger disturbance.

In the previous studies, researchers usually used a more simplified Langmuir adsorption model to study liquid film systems with soluble surfactant.9,22–29 However, the Langmuir adsorption model is not able to simulate the adsorption/desorption kinetics of soluble surfactant on the liquid film surface and in its bulk phase. Therefore, a more general model is needed to simulate the adsorption/desorption kinetics. Recent studies of adsorption theory have shown that the effect of adsorption/desorption kinetics could be expressed by the molecular interaction and its sorption activation energies. The activation energies of sorption was indicated to be a function of surfactant concentration on the fluid surface,30–34 which was based on the Frumkin adsorption model. Lin et al.35,36 showed the Langmuir and the Frumkin adsorption models can not describe and simulate correctly the adsorption/desorption kinetics of the soluble surfactant between the surface and the bulk phase of fluid. After that, they proposed a more complete model, the generalized Frumkin adsorption model which had been shown to agree well with the experimental results. The generalized Frumkin adsorption model assumes that the behavior of adsorption is governed by the interaction among...
molecules, which is the activation energy of absorption/desorption. The relationship between adsorption/desorption rate and activation energy can be expressed as the Arrhenius equation. A generalized Frumkin adsorption model presented by Lin et al. is used to further analyze the nonlinear rupture behaviors of thin liquid films with soluble surfactant.

The objective of present study is to further discuss the spreading process of a liquid film with soluble surfactant. The study used the generalized Frumkin model to simulate the adsorption/desorption process of the surfactant molecules in the bulk and on the top surface of the liquid film. The long-wave approximation and cross-section averaging method was first utilized to derive a set of nonlinear coupling evolution equations. The strip spreading property was then be expressed by (Lin et al.)

\[ h_t + uh_x + wz = -p_x + (w_{xx} + w_x), \]
\[ w_t + uw_x + wz = -p_x + (w_{xx} + w_x), \]
\[ c_t + uc_x + wc_x = D_l(c_{xx} + c_x). \]

Where \( u, w, p \) are dimensionless velocity components in \( x \) and \( z \) directions, respectively; \( p \) is the dimensionless pressure. The dimensionless bulk diffusion constant is denoted by \( D_l = D_m/\nu \), where \( D \) is the bulk diffusion coefficient. Equation (1) is the continuity equation. Equations (2) and (3) are the momentum equations. Equation (4) is the advection-diffusion equation for bulk concentration.

The boundary conditions (no-slip and no-flux) on the solid surface, \( z = 0 \), are

\[ u = w = 0, \]
\[ c_z = 0. \]

For the free surface \( z = h(x, t) \), the boundary conditions (tangential shear, normal stress and kinetic) at the interface can be expressed by

\[ \{w_x + u_x(1 - h_x^2) - 2h_x(u_x - w_x)\} = (1 - h_x^2)^{-1/2} \Sigma_x, \]
\[ p + 2(1 - h_x^2)^{-1}[u_x(h_x^2 - 1) - h_x(w_x + u_x)] = (1 - h_x^2)^{-3/2}h_{xx} \Sigma, \]
\[ h_t + uh_x = w, \]

The surface tension of liquid film is directly affected by the surfactant concentration. One could use Gibbs’ adsorption equation\(^{23}\) to describe the relationship between surface tension and surfactant concentration. The dimensionless equation can then be expressed by (Lin et al.\(^{37}\))

\[ \Sigma = T + M(1 + \beta) \left[ \ln \left( \frac{1 + \beta - \Gamma}{1 + \beta} \right) - \frac{nK}{n + 1} \left( \frac{\Gamma}{1 + \beta} \right)^{n+1} \right], \]

Frumkin equation reduces to the Langmuir equation\(^{35}\) of state. \( RT \) is the product of the ideal gas constant and the absolute temperature. \( u_x, v_z \) are activation energy for absorption and desorption to the change of slope of surface concentration \( \Gamma \); The transport equation of surfactant concentration \( \Gamma \) along the free surface is given by (Tsai and Yue\(^{23}\)):

\[ \Gamma_t + (1 + h_x)(u\Gamma_x + h_x[w\Gamma_z] + h_x^2 \Gamma w_z) = D_{\Sigma}(1 + h_x^2)^{-1} \Gamma_{xx} - h_x h_{xx}(1 + h_x^2)^{-2} \Gamma_x + B, \]
Where $\Gamma$ is dimensionless surface concentration; $D_2$ is dimensionless surface diffusion constant defined by $D_2 = D_2 / v (D_2$ is the surface diffusion coefficient). $B$ is the normal diffusion flux of surfactant from the bulk phase, which, according to Fick’s law of diffusion, can be expressed by

$$B = -D_1 (n \cdot \nabla)c = -\frac{D_1}{\lambda} (1 + h_0^2)^{1/2} (-h_0 c_x + c_z),$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)$$

where $\lambda$ is the equilibrium rate between the surface and the bulk phase of the thin liquid film, which is defined by $\lambda = \Gamma_0 / h_0 c_0$. For a highly elevated $c_0$, $\lambda$ will approach zero since $\Gamma_0$ is bounded by $\Gamma_\infty$. In this limit, diffusion is more rapid compared with the surface convection, and the surfactant transport is adsorption/desorption-controlled. For an extremely diluted $c_0$, $\lambda$ will increase to infinitely large. In this limit, diffusion is slow compared to the surface convective flux, and the surfactant transport is diffusion controlled. Note that $\lambda$ measures the degree of solubility (23): for decreasing $\lambda$, the surfactant become more soluble in the substrate; while for large $\lambda$, the surfactant adsorbs preferentially on the free surface. For the case of insoluble surfactant, there is no surfactant in the bulk fluid, i.e., $B = 0$. However, to solve the soluble surfactant transportation problem, eqs. (4) and (12) are required and the diffusive flux $B$ must be known. The generalized Frumkin adsorption model (Lin et al.35)) has been derived, which can be normalized and expressed in a dimensionless mode by

$$B = J \left[ c \left( 1 + \frac{1 - \Gamma}{\beta} \right) - \Gamma \right] \exp(v_d \Gamma^n),$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)$$

The equation concerned with the equilibrium concentration of the surfactant, in the dimensionless process the following equilibrium equation is introduced to simplify the equation above.

$$c_0 = \frac{k_0 \Gamma_0}{\Gamma_\infty} - \frac{\exp[(E_a - E_d)/RT]}{\Gamma_0}$$

$$= \frac{k_0}{\Gamma_\infty} \frac{1}{\Gamma_0} \frac{\exp[(E_a - E_d)/RT]}{\Gamma_0},$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)$$

In eq. (13), $J = \mu \log k_0 / \sigma_0$ is a dimensionless parameter of the rate constant for desorption rate; If $J = 0$, there are no sortive fluxes, the bulk concentration equation of transport of a passive scalar by a surfactant-driven flow. In the case $J \rightarrow \infty$, the bulk and surface surfactant distributions arrive at equilibrium rapidly according to the linearized Langmuir isotherm, and $v_d = \Gamma_0 \gamma_0 \gamma_0/RT \exp(-E_a/RT)$ is a dimensionless parameter of $v_d$. As described in eq. (11), the magnitude of $B$ will be decreased as $\Gamma$ is increased. The surfactant molecules will diffuse from the fluid surface into the bulk of fluid. In contrast, the adsorption rate will be increased as the bulk concentration, $c$, is increased. And therefore the surfactant molecules will diffuse from the bulk to the surface. In the following we will use long wave approximation and cross-sectional averaging methods to solve the evolution equation that governing the fluid system.

2.2 Long-wave approximation and cross-sectional averaging method

A long wave approximation method described in Williams and Davis’s study38) is used to obtain successive approximations for the solutions of eqs. (1) to (3). Here, we re-define the dimensionless variables that are scaled by a small parameter $\varepsilon$ in the following measures:

$$x = \varepsilon^{-1} \xi, \quad \zeta = \zeta, \quad t = \varepsilon^{-1} \tau, \quad h = H, \quad u = U, \quad w = \varepsilon W, \quad p = \varepsilon^{-1} P, \quad \phi = \varepsilon^{-1} \Phi.$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)$$

All the scaled variables and their derivatives with respect to other variables are taken with the first order. Moreover, it is assumed that the orders of the following parameters are $T = O(1), \quad M = O(\varepsilon^2), \quad D_1 = O(\varepsilon^2), \quad D_2 = O(\varepsilon^2).$  \hspace{1cm} (16)$$

By introducing the following regular perturbation expansion for $U$, $W$ and $P$, which are expressed by

$$(U, W, P) = (U_0, W_0, P_0) + \varepsilon (U_0, W_0, P_0) + \cdots, $$

into eqs. (1)–(3), the governing equations and associated boundary conditions in the leading-order can be obtained as follows.

$$U_{0x} + W_{0x} = 0, \quad \hspace{1cm} \text{(18)}$$

$$U_{ix} = P_{0x}, \quad \hspace{1cm} \text{(19)}$$

$$P_{0x} = 0, \quad \hspace{1cm} \text{(20)}$$

and the boundary condition at the interface of solid and fluid, $\zeta = 0$, is

$$U_0 = 0, \quad \hspace{1cm} \text{(21)}$$

The boundary conditions at free liquid surface, $\zeta = h$, are

$$U_{0x} = -M \left[ \frac{1 + \beta}{1 + \beta - \Gamma} + n K \left( \frac{1}{1 + \beta} \right)^n \right] \Gamma_x, \quad \hspace{1cm} \text{(22)}$$

$$P_0 = -TH_{ix}, \quad \hspace{1cm} \text{(23)}$$

$$H_x + U_0 H_z = W_0. \quad \hspace{1cm} \text{(24)}$$

The cross-sectional averaging technique was used to solve the transport eqs. (4) and (11). One could take $\varepsilon^2 / D_1 \ll 1$ and decompose $c$ into two independent components, as given below once the leading terms in the average of $C$ taken with respect to $z$ are given

$$c = c_0(x, t) + \frac{k^2}{D_1} c_1(x, z, t).$$  \hspace{1cm} (25)$$

Finally, one can obtain the following evolution equations:

$$h_t + \left\{ \frac{1}{3} Th^2 h_{xxx} - \frac{1}{2} M \left[ \frac{1 + \beta}{1 + \beta - \Gamma} + n K \left( \frac{1}{1 + \beta} \right)^n \right] h^2 \Gamma_x \right\}_x, \quad \hspace{1cm} \text{(26)}$$

$$c_t + \left\{ \frac{1}{3} Th^2 h_{xxx} - \frac{1}{2} M \left[ \frac{1 + \beta}{1 + \beta - \Gamma} + n K \left( \frac{1}{1 + \beta} \right)^n \right] h \Gamma_x \right\}_x, \quad \hspace{1cm} \text{(27)}$$

$$\Gamma_t + \left\{ \frac{1}{3} Th^2 h_{xxx} - M \left[ \frac{1 + \beta}{1 + \beta - \Gamma} + n K \left( \frac{1}{1 + \beta} \right)^n \right] h \Gamma \Gamma_x \right\}_x, \quad \hspace{1cm} \text{(28)}$$

Using the above coupling evolution eqs. (26)–(28), one could obtain the thickness of liquid films and the surfactant
concentration in the fluid bulk and on the fluid surface.

3. Nonlinear Numerical Analysis Results

This article focuses on the spreading process of a liquid film with soluble surfactant. The generalized Frumkin model was used to simulate the film system. The results were compared with those estimated by the Langmuir adsorption model. The results of the spreading process were also compared with those of a liquid film with insoluble surfactant. At $x = 0$, it is assumed that there is no surfactant flux ($u = \Gamma_x = c_s = 0$). Otherwise, it is assumed that there exists the flux of surfactant. As $x \to \infty$, it is assumed that $h \to 1$, $c \to 0$, and $\Gamma \to 0$. From the mass conservation rule, eqs. (7) and (13), the total mass per unit length of the surfactant can be obtained as Jensen and Grotberg.

\[
\tau H_t = \frac{1}{3} \xi H + \left[ -\frac{1}{3} T H_{xx} H^3 \tau^{-1/3} + \frac{1}{2} M^* H^2 G \right],
\]

\[
\tau F_t = \frac{1}{3} (H F)_t + \left[ -\frac{1}{3} T H_{xx} H^2 \tau^{-1/3} + \frac{1}{2} M^* H G \right] F_t + \frac{D_1 \tau^{1/3}}{H},
\]

\[
(H F)_{xx} = \frac{J_2 \tau}{H} \left[ F \left( 1 + \frac{1 - G \tau^{1/3}}{\beta} \right) - G \right] \exp(v_d G^a \tau^{-\alpha b}),
\]

\[
\tau G_t = \frac{1}{3} (H G)_t + \left[ -\frac{1}{2} T H_{xx} G \tau^{-1/3} + \frac{1}{2} M^* H G \right] G_t + \frac{D_2 G \tau^{1/3}}{H} + J t \left[ F \left( 1 + \frac{1 - G \tau^{1/3}}{\beta} \right) - G \right] \exp(v_d G^a \tau^{-\alpha b}),
\]

where

\[
M^* = M \frac{1 + \beta}{1 + \beta - G \tau^{-1/3}}.
\]

The relative boundary and the initial conditions are given as follows.

The boundary conditions are:

For the downstream, $H(\xi, \tau) = 1$, $G(\xi, \tau) = 0$, and $F(\xi, \tau) = 0$.

For the upstream, $G_\xi = 0$, $F_\xi = 0$, $G_\xi = 0$, and $H_{\xi \xi} = 0$.

The initial conditions are $H(\xi, 1) = 1$, $F(\xi, 1) = 0$, and

\[
G(\xi, 1) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 - \tanh \left( \frac{\xi - \xi_m}{\xi_m} \right) \right],
\]

where $\xi_m = 0.5$, $\xi_m = 0.15$.

After coordinate transformation, the numerical solutions can be obtained. The finite difference method was used to expand the coupling evolution equations into algebraic equations. The center difference is employed for spatial expansion of the coupling evolution equations into algebraic equations. The Newton–Raphson iteration scheme is introduced to solve the non-linear difference equations and the convergence tolerance is selected to $10^{-5}$.

4. Results and Discussion

Previous studies have reported that many factors would affect the spreading of a liquid film. This article discusses the effect of solubility on the surface and bulk concentration of a liquid film. It also discusses the factors that influence the spreading of liquid film. For comparison, the same parameters used in previous studies were also used in this study. They are: $T = 0.0001$, $D_2 = 0.01$, $M = 1.0$, $\lambda = 1.0$, $J = 1.0$.

Before starting to analyze the spreading process of a liquid film with soluble surfactant, the spreading process of a liquid film with insoluble surfactant will first be discussed. For the latter film system, the surfactants on the surface and in the bulk of a liquid film do not show the absorption/desorption process. In this condition that the $J$ is equal to zero ($J = 0$), the surfactant exists only on the surface of a liquid film system. The equations left in the evolution coupling equations are eqs. (31) and (33). The predicted spreading process of a liquid film system with insoluble surfactant is illustrated in Fig. 1(a). The distributions of surface surfactant concentration and shear stress are shown in Figs. 1(b) and 1(c), respectively. In these figures, one can observe that the distribution of surface shear stress is induced by the given initial distribution of surfactant concentration. This force will create a significant up front shock at the surfactant distribution in a liquid film system. This leads to the spreading of surfactant. This force will be smoothed out by the spreading of surfactant. Next, the spreading of soluble surfactants in the liquid film system is discussed. Here, the adsorption/desorption process at the surface and in the bulk of a liquid film system is considered. Comparing this prediction to that by the Langmuir model, it is obvious that the surface concentration of soluble surfactant predicted by the Langmuir model is decreased faster than that predicted by the generalized Frumkin model. This prediction will lead to a much faster decline of...
concentration gradient and a slower spreading of surfactant. Next, the effect of the solubility of surfactant on the liquid film spreading process is further discussed. The initial distributions of surface and bulk concentrations for the present model are shown in Fig. 2, where the initial surfactant concentration at designed locations for two simulated models and the liquid film system with insoluble surfactant are presented. These data indicate that the initial surfactant concentrations for two models are lower than that of a liquid film with insoluble surfactant. The concentration at the initial location predicted by the Langmuir model is dropping faster than predicted by others. The time required for reaching the equilibrium concentration for both the surface and the bulk predicted by the Langmuir model are also shorter than predicted by other models. While, it took longer for the generalized Frumkin model to reach the equilibrium. This reflects that the Langmuir absorption model will predict a stronger desorption rate for the surfactant. Therefore, one may conclude that the solubility predicted by Langmuir model is stronger than predicted by other models. Resultantly, the surface concentration of a liquid film at the central area is declined dramatically, which will blunt the induced shear stress significantly. In this case, spreading rate will be increased. Furthermore, the spreading rate of a liquid film with surfactant estimated by the generalized Frumkin model and Langmuir model are slower than that predicted in the case of a liquid film with insoluble surfactant.

Finally, the influence of important parameters, $\beta$, $D_1$, $\nu_d$, $K$ of the generalized Frumkin model on the spreading process is discussed $\beta$, denoted by $(\Gamma_\infty - \Gamma_0)/\Gamma_0$ is the magnitude of dimensionless relative surface concentration. A smaller $\beta$ means the equilibrium concentration $\Gamma_0$ is closer to the saturated concentration $\Gamma_\infty$, which means a
larger surface concentration. From eqs. (10) and (13), it is evident that $\beta$ will affect not only the adsorption and desorption behaviors of surfactant, but also the shear stress term of the surface tension. The variation of surface concentration at the initial location estimated by the generalized Frumkin model with three different $\beta$ values is shown in Fig. 3. A faster decrease in the surface concentration $G$ is found at the initial location (that is, the desorption of surfactant will be enhanced) as the relative surface concentration $\beta$ is increased. However, the surface concentration is increased (that is, the desorption of surfactant will be weakened) when the value of $\beta$ exceeds 2.0. Factually, the effect of spreading rate should be discussed by considering the Marangoni effect. The distribution of Marangoni flow estimated using small value of $\beta$ will be much well developed than that is estimated using large $\beta$. This means that a higher surface concentration will induce a stronger Marangoni effect when $\beta$ is small, but there are contrary results while the value of $\beta$ exceeds 2.0. The variation of surface concentration at the initial location estimated by the generalized Frumkin model with different values of $D_1$, $\nu_d$ and $K$ were investigated. As shown in Fig. 4, the surface concentration at the initial location shows a faster decline as the value of $D_1$ is increased. This means $D_1$ will enhance the desorption of surfactant and decelerate the spreading rate of the thin liquid film. From Fig. 5,
obviously the surface concentration at the initial location shows a faster decline as the values of $v_d$ and $K$ are decreased. That is $v_d$ and $K$ will weaken the desorption of surfactant and accelerate the spreading rate of thin liquid film.

5. Conclusions

This article discusses the spreading process of a liquid film system with soluble surfactant. The generalized Frumkin absorption model was used to simulate the adsorption and desorption processes of surfactant on the surface and in the bulk of a liquid film system. The important findings are summarized as follows:

1. The desorption (surface concentration) of surfactant from the surface to the bulk predicted by the Langmuir model is faster than that predicted by the generalized Frumkin model. This is because the effect of solubility is overestimated by the Langmuir model and leads to the underestimation of spreading rate. As a result, the prediction using the Langmuir model should be properly corrected.

2. When the prediction using the generalized Frumkin model is performed at a smaller $\beta$ (a higher surface concentration), the effect of solubility and the Marangoni effect of a liquid film system will be enhanced. However, the Marangoni effect is much more significant than the other. Consequently, a small $\beta$ value will lead to the acceleration of spreading, but there are contrary results while the value of $\beta$ exceeds 2.0.

3. The dimensionless bulk diffusion constant $D_1$ will enhance the desorption of surfactant and decelerate the spreading rate of thin liquid film. But both the activation energy of desorption $v_d$ and the molecular interaction parameter $K$ will weaken the desorption of surfactant and accelerate the spreading rate of thin liquid film.

The results of this study can be used for further detailed discussion on other fields such as the chemical reaction and evaporation or condensation of liquid films, the liquid film with soluble surfactant.
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